FUTURE OF JEA WORKSHOP

SESSION #5

DATE: Monday, February 24, 2020

TIME: 11:25 a.m. - 1:16 p.m.

PLACE: Council Chambers

First Floor

City Hall at St. James Building

117 West Duval Street

Jacksonville, Florida 32202

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Scott Wilson, Council President, District 4 Michael Boylan, Chairman, District 6 Joyce Morgan, District 1 Al Ferraro, District 2 Aaron Bowman, District 3 LeAnna Cumber, District 5 Reggie Gaffney, District 7 Ju'Coby Pittman, District 8 Garrett Dennis, District 9 Brenda Priestly Jackson, District 10 Danny Becton, District 11 Randy White, District 12 Rory Diamond, District 13 Randy DeFoor, District 14 Terrance Freeman, Group 1 Ronald Salem, Group 2 Tommy Hazouri, Group 3 Sam Newby, Group 5

ALSO PRESENT:

Dr. Cheryl Brown, City Council Director
Jessica Matthews, Chief of Legislative
Services
Vonya Balogh, Legislative Services
Steve Cassada, IT Administrator
Melanie Wilkes, Information Systems
Administrator
Jeff Clements, Chief of Research
Peggy Sidman, Office of General Counsel
Steve Busey, Smith, Hulsey & Busey

1 PROCEEDINGS

2.2

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: If I can encourage my colleagues to join us in the dais, we'll get started. I'll use this little vamping time to just sort of set up today's meeting, first of all, to comment and commend the work of the Investigative Committee this morning; it was very insightful. The Nelson Mullins' presentation, I think, was helpful in setting some perspective in today's conversation.

For the benefit of those in the room and those watching, I want to again, commend President Wilson for his foresight in recognizing, while we need to take a look at the past, we also need to stay focused on the future, because the importance of JEA and its future success is something that's very important to all of us.

So we are going to continue with some of these workshops until such time that we have a JEA board in place and their process of identifying a CEO. And I suspect we'll resume an earnest following once there is a determination made as to options that the

JEA will be exploring in the future, knowing full well, obviously, that the prospect of anything other than continuing as a public utility is all but decided as it relates to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So really, the focus of the next couple of meetings is going to be as much a benefit for the audience, and those watching, as my colleagues, as the thought process really is twofold: Number one, to bring in subject matter experts who can speak to the success of the public utility industry not only throughout the state of Florida, but also across the nation. There are great opportunities out there, and we'll continue to pursue those. That's number one, getting ourselves educated so that we are at a point in time that we are going to be involved in making decisions in collaboration with the JEA Board that we can do it from an informed position.

Secondly, our focus today is beginning the journey of creating a documentation that allows us to assure the kind of collaboration, transparency we'd like to see

as it relates to the continued operation of
our JEA. And don't want this to be a
knee-jerk in that sense, so it's going to be
very thoughtful. Many of my colleagues, and
myself included, had ideas of things that
needed to be addressed as part of this
process. It just seemed to make sense for
us to do that in a coordinated fashion. So
we came up with the idea of taking a look at
Article 21. And part of our conversation
today will be taking a look at what changes
we think need to be made in Article 21 in
order for us to assure we don't have the
kind of experience we've had over the course
of the past year, year and a half, perhaps
even longer. So that's going to be our time
today.
The first two folks who are going to be
speaking with us this morning is a gentleman
by the name of Jerry Hartman and Tom Cloud.

Jerry is here and, thankfully, I do appreciate that. I want to give you a little preamble to both Tom's and Jerry's position -- involvement in this process. We've actually had a number of conversations over the course of the last four or five

months. I was introduced to them by Gene

Miller. They were both retained by the City

Council at one point in time to help them

understand the prospect of the ITN and how

valid that process that JEA was exploring.

2.2

When I met with Mr. Hartman on a couple times on the phone, he sent me his original presentation he had made to the City Council. I've asked him since to update that.

And once I received what he sent me, it seemed to make sense to go ahead and let him tell that perspective. Although we had a great presentation this morning, I think it gives us a second level from someone within the industry who can speak to his perspective as to the process that JEA was walking themselves through in that process.

Mr. Cloud, in our conversations, was talking about he was lecturing all the way back to the beginning that we as a council have a responsibility to own this. And so when we shared with him that we were going to be taking the process of revisiting our

Charter and Article 21 in particular, he said, You're well within your right to do so. And he was anxious to come down on his own dime to share his time with us and talk about some suggestions that we may want to consider as we take a look at our Article 21 and changes to the Charter.

2.2

I'll talk about that in a little more detail once we get into that part of the conversation, but I want to start now with Mr. Hartman.

If you wouldn't mind coming forward to the dais here, introduce yourself, and then we will have a PowerPoint, I hope, that will go up so you can see his presentation and follow along. And we'll try and leave time for questions.

I do want to make sure we give ourselves ample time to begin the process of revisiting the Article 21 later on in the document. And rest assured today is not going to be a complete process. I envision it's going to take two or three meetings for us to finally coalesce what we need to do as it relates to changes to Article 21 and

1	beyond.
2	So, Mr. Hartman, I'm going to turn the
3	floor over to you to introduce yourself and
4	walk us through the presentation, which
5	hopefully we'll have a chance to see, which
6	right now it doesn't look like we have.
7	MR. HARTMAN: (Indicating.)
8	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Yes, we know what it
9	is. We just don't see it on our screens
10	yet. And they're working on it.
11	Please go ahead and get started with the
12	introductions and we'll catch up with you.
13	MR. HARTMAN: Thank you very much.
14	I'm Jerry Hartman. I'm an engineer and
15	appraiser for public utilities here in the
16	United States. I've worked in 35 different
17	states and do a lot of the mergers and
18	acquisitions, and purchase and sales, and
19	help utilities actually start many utilities
20	here in the state of Florida I assisted in
21	working with them to create. I've worked or
22	over 600 projects in this arena.
23	And with me today is, of course, Tom
24	Cloud. Tom Cloud has worked on three of the

four utility -- electric utility

25

1	municipalizations in the state. The only
2	one that he did not work on was Sebring.
3	And I did the appraisal of Vero Beach in the
4	Vero Beach situation, as well as Bushnell
5	and others.
6	So we're just updating the JCC
7	presentation and talking about the other
8	criteria and considerations. The goals and
9	objectives were delineated, and that's
10	the goals and objectives delineated by JEA
11	and their staff, management staff, are
12	actually pretty good goals and objectives,
13	just how they're applied. And so there 1
14	just want to talk about that a little bit.
15	Where we are, of course, you know where that
16	is, you do have interested and qualified
17	bidders to look at it.
18	I was going through this process many
19	years ago with the City of Lakeland, you
20	know, we looked at bringing in outside
21	vendors and having them look at our utility
22	to make recommendations relative to
23	optimizations associated with the utility.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Mr. Chair.
25	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: They are having

1	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Can I ask, do we
2	have a copy of his presentation?
3	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Yeah. We sent it to
4	you, I believe, in a PDF. Last week I sent
5	it to you. Unfortunately, they're not
6	able to get it up on the screen.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Is it in our
8	binder?
9	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: It is not in the
10	binder, per se, no.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: But it's
12	available in PDF?
13	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Yeah. I sent it via
14	email last, I believe, Wednesday or
15	Thursday, if my memory serves me correctly.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Thanks. I'll
17	look for it.
18	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I apologize for those
19	in the audience and my colleagues that we're
20	having some technical difficulties in
21	getting to his PowerPoint, but we will
22	forage ahead for the sake of time.
23	Please, Mr. Hartman, continue.
24	MR. HARTMAN: Thank you.
25	And, of course, there are all kinds of

improvements that you can get back from the private sector looking as a third party looking at your system. And there in Lakeland, American basically said -- which is one of your bidders here -- basically said, you know, We really can't do any better than what you guys have done. And they wrote a letter that said that. And they also said, Here is a few optimizations to look at.

2.2

Now, when you talk about the industry standard, the industry standard is continuing to evolve and continuing to optimize your existing operations. There are all kinds of opportunities. There is decisions made, but then you can make other decisions to correct or improve the previous decisions. And that's what we can do now.

It's the -- the other improvement, now is the time to look at other improvements in your utility system. Now is the time to look at the optimizations that your fine staff can bring to you. Now is the time to look at -- if you look at the outside market, look at the market's insights into

your system. And that's a good thing. And without talking about equity, equity is like a transaction of some sort. Let's talk about let's work together and use what other cities in the state of Florida have done and continue to do improving various aspects of the utility. One is, of course, using your utility to bring in additional customers, capacity sales that you can do, the contracting, the agreements with other customer bases.

2.2

You know, you have tremendous opportunities with FP&L right here, they've got the lowest rates in the state. And they're a great company. And you can work with them with contracting for the future together sort of like a great trade agreement, if you will, something like that, bringing the opportunities together and applying it to your own customer base.

Of course, I was asked to look at the various scenarios; you had a little presentation on that earlier. Scenario one just did not include all the things that you can do. It can be mixed with scenario two.

1 And really, one and two are one scenario, 2 making it a better JEA. And that's what you 3 should be focused on going forward, seeing the opportunities that you have. You are 4 5 the biggest utility in the state of Florida. You have the economies of scale. 6 you be better? Can you work with others? 7 8 Can you integrate with others to make 9 yourself even better? And you can. That's 10 what others are doing in the state of 11 Florida. 12 Scenarios three and four, I did the 13 evaluations before we had them here. 14 They're not really an option. I've never 15 seen in my practice in 44 years, 16 specifically in utilities, any municipality 17 doing an IPO relative to their system. 18 failure is not an option when you have this 19 type of utility, this size utility. Equity, 20 infusion and capital is something different. 21 What the stock market does and how they 2.2 look at stocks and stock trades and trades 23 to rate base and all those kinds of things, 24 that's not really how the market moves

forward on many of these utility sales.

25

1	I've been involved in many billions of
2	dollars of transactions throughout the
3	United States. So really, there is from
4	JEA there is really only two scenarios,
5	optimize what we have now and move forward
6	or look at a potential disposition. And
7	that's really those are really the only
8	two.
9	And it's the first one that has the
LO	greatest opportunities right now. The first
11	one allows us okay. This is not quite or
12	the screen. Can I move that over?
13	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: We don't have access,
L 4	control to it, unfortunately.
L5	MR. HARTMAN: Okay. Anyway, moving
L 6	right along, the scenario one, I just want
L7	to give you some aspects. That's the next
L8	page after this that's shown on the
L 9	overhead. You can look at
20	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I'm sorry. They're
21	asking me to tell everyone to hit your
22	presentation button.
23	MR. HARTMAN: Presentation. And then
24	how do I move forward?
>5	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN. And I apologize What

1	page are we on, Mr. Harman?
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Page 8.
3	MR. HARTMAN: Page 8.
4	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Now, we sent out an
5	email on Friday that has the PDF on it, if
6	you can take a peek at it. I believe it was
7	Friday we sent it.
8	MR. HARTMAN: There you go.
9	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: We're in the ballpark.
10	Can you move the camera, zoom it out a
11	little bit?
12	MR. HARTMAN: The status quo plan, you
13	know, I listed eight aspects associated with
14	the status quo plan.
15	There you go. There we go.
16	And, you know, plenty of possibilities,
17	we could have more definition associated
18	with that, that anticipate the challenges
19	out in the future. If you look at some of
20	the other utilities in the state of Florida,
21	you can see those things done very well.
22	The financial optimizations, I mentioned to
23	you capacity sales agreements for power
24	agreements, for water and wastewater
25	agreements, for economic growth in your

community. These are all things that you can do to make JEA bigger and better, but it's also better for your community, because economic development and economic growth.

2.2

Your operational optimizations, I happen to have known many of the -- over my career, many of the staff members, in staff management and below positions, who have great ideas, very smart people, very dedicated people. Your staff at JEA is an excellent staff of people that I've known and worked with. So, you know, there's a lot of people there that can help out, and you get these hands-on optimization suggestions from those people. And that hasn't been done to the level that I've seen, because certain things haven't been done here yet and the opportunities are available.

The capital program value engineering, one is just doing capital projects, another is doing capital projects with the best economic impact and best community impact.

And when you look at capital projects, Byron Rosenberg from MIT had this great, you know,

1	trade-off thing; it's called the
2	transformation curve. Look at the capital
3	investment, the economic benefit from it,
4	and the community benefit. And everything
5	on that curve was good to go forward with.
6	If you don't integrate your community
7	benefit, capital costs and efficiencies all
8	together in those decisions, you don't get
9	the best decisions on various management
10	opportunities.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: Mr. Hartman, if
12	I might interrupt we need to pick up the
13	pace just a little bit from my
14	understanding of what you're saying, your
15	assessment of the ability of JEA to
16	be transformational probably already exists
17	within the organization. Is that what I'm
18	hearing you say?
19	MR. HARTMAN: I think there is a lot of
20	ideas and a lot of people there that are
21	very capable. And, yes, they can be
22	transformational just inside the
23	organization. Getting outside insights is
24	fine too, but who really knows the system?
25	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Sure.

1	MR. HARTMAN: Who knows the customers?
2	Who knows the customers? It's the people
3	within the corporation, if you call it that,
4	or within the utility. They know that.
5	It's amazing, I can talk about 10, 15, 20
6	utilities that we just talked about, Hey,
7	let's bring in some people and connect
8	people who are not connected to the utility.
9	Well, that's a simple concept, where our
10	lines are, in front of them. Even in your
11	system, you have, you know, thousands, tens
12	of thousands of individuals or companies
13	that your lines are right in front of. And
14	it's sign-up-and-save programs. Englewood
15	did it, other places like that throughout
16	the state of Florida. But the
17	sign-up-and-save things make a huge
18	difference.
19	Little North Port was a small, little
20	city that didn't do too well. Right now
21	it's quadrupled in size because of these
22	simple, simple things that came from the
23	utility.
24	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: You can move on to the
25	next slide there.

MR. HARTMAN: I'm going to skip over the traditional utility response because, you know, the rate increases, you know, 18 percent -- or 12 percent over 18 years is great. Some of the other community ownerships, three and four, you already know about. And they're really not options. And five, of course, has been halted.

2.2

The fair market value, legislation would be required, and that's one of the things I mentioned to JCC, to have a really fair market value transaction. It was premature because there was no rate base determination for your electric water and wastewater facilities.

The bidders you already know about. I can move forward pretty quickly here.

And when we look at management decisions reviews, you know, of course, you're investigating these things. But what's in front of the Florida legislature today?

There is an aspect to look at of fair market value versus rate base in sale of utilities.

And it's gone through a couple committees, has one more committee to go through. Well,

1	that will change the whole equity situation
2	in the state of Florida, because right now
3	the state of Florida is a rate base. And
4	what is rate base? Original cost less
5	depreciation is your book value, if you
6	will, for the accounting people, type of,
7	close to it, not exactly, type of valuation.
8	So what's in front of the Florida
9	legislature is something totally different.
10	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Can you speak to that
11	in a little more detail?
12	MR. HARTMAN: Surely. Rate base is what
13	the Florida Public Service Commission uses
14	to set rates for investor-owned utilities.
15	In that you have various components. One is
16	the operation maintenance costs, which you
17	get full recovery of. You have the
18	investment in, you get the return on the
19	investment that you put in, and you get the
20	return of the investment, that's called rate
21	base. And rate base is the original cost of
22	what you put in, you personally invest into
23	the utility, not what the a customer
24	developer gives to the utility. It's what
25	you invest in the utility. That's rate

1	base.
2	In this state, utilities allow are
3	allowed to earn on rate base. Most of the
4	states in the United States, that's the way
5	it is. You don't get fair market value if
6	you're based on rate base, okay.
7	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Make sense? Thank
8	you.
9	MR. HARTMAN: And so that's a huge
10	change.
11	I just threw out that it would be a very
12	good opportunity to use some very capable
13	people. I mentioned Fred Haddad who used to
14	head up the OUC purchasing and power
15	movements for OUC. He's a consultant now,
16	very capable guy. Somebody you can bring in
17	to assist in this transformation that you've
18	got. Not me, I'm not talking about myself,
19	I'm talking about somebody else. Lots of
20	capability. And the major problems that you
21	have with your energy procurement, he's an
22	expert, one of the top experts in the state
23	of Florida relative to that.
24	Of course, a management rebuilding

program, that's going to take some time, you

25

1	all know this.
2	I threw out that you may look at how you
3	create the JEA Board a little bit
4	differently. Throughout the state of
5	Florida, the utility boards are created
6	differently than here. And Tom Cloud is
7	going to talk to you about that. In other
8	words, how do they get elected to the board
9	and how does that work.
10	And then, of course, the next slide
11	basically looks at my opinions back at the
12	time relative to a consulting situation. I
13	was in the \$8 billion level with 2 to \$3
14	billion spread. And this goes back a few
15	years before and this was before the
16	University of Florida and others got
17	involved in this process.
18	Publicly decide on your goals and
19	objectives. If you need to take money
20	and or transfer money for capital
21	projects, what are they and how can they
22	best be packaged? So that's the next goals
23	and objectives.

FIRST COAST COURT REPORTERS

24

25

Defining the sources and uses should --

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Next slide, sorry.

MR. HARTMAN: And the flow chart is a typical flow chart for an acquisition, which wasn't followed in this case, which we have water and energy.

2.2

If you are going to do a full analysis of a utility, and really understand a utility, you should have described in what every aspect of that utility is. In other words, what is the condition, what is the cost, what is the function, functionality, and are they good or not. And here are the five aspects and legal descriptions, engineering descriptions, your insurance companies do a due diligence report on all your assets, that's something to look at.

And I mentioned before your optimizations.

So I think you've already talked about things that have not been done because you were doing your investigation earlier and why should you do a few things. If you look at potential insurance, leveraging, debt to asset ratios, credit worthiness, those types of things for your utility, it's always good to have a USPAP, a uniform special appraisal practice compliant utility appraisal. To my

knowledge, at this juncture you still don't

have an appraisal. And so it's sort of

interesting, there is many uses for an

appraisal.

2.2

At Vero Beach -- now, the Vero Beach did finally decide to sell to FP&L, but the initial offer was around \$80 million. I'm the guy that did the appraisal there in Vero, so it was closer to \$200 million, a little less. And when all was said and done, we were within two and a half percent. So huge difference of doing things.

Appraisals do a lot of things for you, things that you don't -- there's unintended optimizations out of an appraisal. So that's something I want to mention to you.

So basically, other than optimizing, transforming your utility that you can do with your existing staff, and a few consultants assisting you, that's where you should go, go forward. Contract with others, optimize your customer base, optimize your capacity, sell all the power, all types of power, not just base load power, peaking power, and other types of

1	power relative to seasonal power. You can
2	use underutilization of your base power and
3	getting higher prices for that in our
4	peaking basis.
5	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: We have Mr. Mason

2.2

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: We have Mr. Mason coming to speak with us in a couple workshops down the road here, but you mentioned comments to me -- focused -- a lot of this is obviously on the electric utility, but let's talk a little bit about water for a second. You made some observations about where we stand as compared to some of our peers in our management of the water systems and cost effectiveness.

MR. HARTMAN: Your water and wastewater systems are quite good. They ran for a long time, done a very good job. Your projections for rate and increases are lower, much lower than the rest of the state of Florida, most of the other people in the state of Florida with utilities. Just think about that for a while. For the next decade, your projections for rate increases are lower than in water and wastewater than

1	projections if you look at the state of
2	Florida in aggregate. So it's really sort
3	of interesting that you're doing a better
4	job in that area.
5	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you.
6	Mr. Becton.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Thank you.
8	Through the Chair.
9	Mr. Hartman, one of the things I would
10	like to hear your thoughts on is against an
11	observation in reality that many of the
12	utility companies between here and the
13	panhandle are coops. And while I learned
14	that we, in and of ourselves, can't turn
15	into a coop because we're not that rule
16	footprint and don't meet the statute's
17	definition of that, what opportunity is
18	there to have a conversation perhaps
19	expanding JEA's footprint through these
20	coops?
21	I mean, is there anything that these
22	coops and I have to assume some are small
23	and could utilize the vast resources of a
24	public utility that cares about the
25	customer, more perceived, than a profit

oriented one, is there -- in your opinion, 1 2 is there anything there that kind of works 3 to JEA's advantage and the coops might be looking for that surround us? 4 5 MR. HARTMAN: Absolutely, that's a great question. One of the optimizations in 6 7 Seminole County on the water, wastewater side that we did is called inhouse 8 9 outsourcing, national recognition of that 20 10 years ago, 20 years ago. What is inhouse 11 outsourcing? That's what City of Lakeland 12 is doing right now with their electric 13 systems, inhouse outsourcing; in other 14 words, contracting with the coops, having a 15 conversation with those coops and seeing 16 what needs they could work with you. 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The buying power of JEA is fantastic.

So probably much better than the coop's buying power. What you buy -- to give you some feel, make it real simple, something you can see and touch, a hydrant, the massive hydrant purchases that you get from large hydrant purchasers, around 900 bucks a hydrant. A small community goes out and buys a hydrant for 3,500 bucks, big

difference.

2.2

You can do inhouse outsourcing, working with and helping other communities, making your community even better, getting a higher utilization and more revenues through your system just by being cooperative with the coops.

8 COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Just one 9 follow-up question.

10 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: So are you working with any coops out there, haven't had any conversations of their struggles that you might say, Hey, you know, I have had conversations and there is -- you know, there could be a two-way conversation that you might perceive to be some opportunities out there that, if we would just kind of put it out there, that we might could find there is some interest?

MR. HARTMAN: I'm pretty sure there would be interest, but I have not done the work relative to purchasing. I always -I've always found everywhere I've looked that the purchasing power makes a huge

1	difference alone. How power helped you
2	know, for water, wastewater, and electric,
3	all three.
4	But how power is traded and how you can
5	assist them is another issue that you can
6	work with them on. You know, AAD8 is open
7	transmission. So you can have open
8	transmission.
9	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you.
10	Council Member Hazouri.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Thank you,
12	Mr. Chairman.
13	Mr. Hartman, thank you. You want to
14	apply for CEO?
15	I have two or three questions. One of
16	them really pertains to the investigation
17	and partly what you said. A couple things,
18	when you mentioned the water a while ago and
19	our rates are low. Is that due to the fact
20	that we have water and South Florida
21	doesn't? Is that too simple?
22	MR. HARTMAN: I think it may be that you
23	do have very good resources, but also you
24	have an economy of scale, a well-looped
25	system many inputs to the system So you

1	haven't had to build huge transmission
2	facilities. There are internal
3	transmissions in your service areas.
4	Basically, you have enough plants
5	located throughout that you don't have to
6	have, you know, 84-inch transmission pipes.
7	You have big ones, I don't mean to say
8	they're small, but your transmission you
9	know, burden of care the carry on that is
10	low, pipe lasts a long time. And because
11	you've done it in this fashion, you've got
12	an inherent advantage. Secondarily, your
13	water quality is really good.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: The water
15	quality, yeah.
16	MR. HARTMAN: Yeah, your water quality
17	is. And down in South Florida, take North
18	Miami Beach, which I did their \$100 million
19	expansion in North Miami Beach on their
20	water system, take North Miami Beach, you
21	know, it's low pressure versus osmosis, lime
22	softening combined, and membrane softening
23	combined, those three techniques, you don't
24	have to do that.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I appreciate

1	that.	The other great answers too.
2	That's	a big issue for us, I know, for some
3	of the	members here.

2.2

One of the questions -- well, two of them, one has to do with the status quo, basically you were saying -- I don't have it in front of me, but they paid lip service to it, but not really, you know, they just summarized it, but not really delved into what we can do to improve it. It sounds like we have a common denominator that we can build on that we didn't take advantage of. Is that something that you're thinking that we didn't go far enough before we jumped the hurdle and went straight to trying to privatize?

MR. HARTMAN: The quick answer is yes.

Continuing that answer is that I find that,
when a bunch of management people go out and
talk to high-price consultants such as
myself, you get a different perspective than
working directly with your staff and looking
at commonsense solutions for a lot of
different things. There are so many thing s
that your staff is so good at that, if you

1 allowed that to -- you incentivize that in 2 your own operation, you will have a massive 3 effect on the overall utility. COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I appreciate 4 5 The last question, Mr. Chairman, through the Chair, really has to do with the 6 7 investigation, but also process that the JEA 8 does like every other utility. 9 When they go to PSC, is it different 10 about their rates, I suppose, is it 11 different than what they have to give to the 12 PSC than a private utility; and, semicolon, 13 if they gave the right information and I'm 14 giving them the benefit of the doubt, if 15 they gave the right information to the PSC, 16 but gave false information to their board 17 and to us, are there penalties? 18 Has any other utility experienced or any 19 city experienced that kind of situation, 20 where they gave the right information or some different information to the PSC than 21 2.2 they would to their own board? This is 23 really important, because I just -- it 24 really bothers me that that happened. MR. HARTMAN: That's -- I hate to say 25

1	this, you guys are unique, to my knowledge,
2	in Florida. And I've served many, many
3	utilities in the state of Florida. You
4	know, I, I guess, touched maybe 700
5	different utilities here in Florida. So
6	it's I have not known that to happen
7	before.
8	The private communities the private
9	entities, private companies, they also have
10	member filing requirements, that's a little
11	bit different. But the stuff that's
12	normally given to the PSC from a utility, I
13	think, if you look at all the rest of them,
14	it's the same stuff as they gave to their
15	board.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Did you see
17	what they gave the PSC, the JEA?
18	MR. HARTMAN: I didn't get into that,
19	because that was a different thing. I'm
20	going forward and building it better, that's
21	what I'm all about. And I'm not about, you
22	know, any bad things that other people do.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I'm not asking
24	you to do that. It's a little bit
25	disconcerting that they would send the

1	correct thing, if that's what it was, to the
2	PSC and give misinformation to us or to our
3	electrical board.
4	MR. HARTMAN: That is unfortunate.
5	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you, Council
6	Member Hazouri.
7	Council Member Morgan.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MORGAN: Thank you so
9	much, Mr. Chair.
10	Through the Chair to Mr. Hartman.
11	Mr. Hartman, thank you for your presentation
12	and sharing with us. When you talked about
13	the water and the wastewater and, to me,
14	our strength do you think that the rate
15	projections are too low since you're saying
16	they're pretty much the lowest all around?
17	Should we be a little higher for stability
18	purposes or is being low okay?
19	MR. HARTMAN: Well, since you are a
20	non-for-profit entity, it's the cost of
21	service. Now, really where your question
22	goes is has management funded all ten
23	there's ten buckets in a proper utility
24	funding. Has management properly funded the
25	extent and magnitude for those ten buckets?

And if they have depleted some of those buckets and are not funding them fully -- bless you -- there would be a need to raise revenue requirements or potentially rates or getting more customers. There is other ways of doing it, or structure, things like that to make it better, to fix that situation. That's really how well has your management looked at all the future costs.

2.2

Now, if they haven't projected those costs and documented them well and covered all the proper buckets and, you know, you have the buckets that you have in your debt instruments, your bond issues, you know, all the various reserves that you want to have, and then you have the American Water Works Association, WEF buckets that you want to have those filled. And then you want to have a proper renewal and replacement and major maintenance program, such that you keep high quality and efficient facilities.

So if you have those buckets funded appropriately and you have the lowest rates or some of the lowest rates in the state, that's great. That's good management.

1	That's great. But if you haven't, if you're
2	robbing Peter to pay Paul, that's bad.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER MORGAN: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Council Member DeFoor.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: Thank you,
6	Mr. Chair.
7	And through the Chair to Mr. Hartman.
8	My understanding is that you've been looking
9	at the JEA for a couple years now. Did I
10	hear you correctly?
11	MR. HARTMAN: Yes.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: Okay. Did you
13	look at the St. Johns River Power Plant in
14	that process at all.
15	MR. HARTMAN: I don't want to get too
16	deep into that. That decision was
17	difficult, yes.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: The reason why
19	I'm asking is going forward you have to
20	because there is some question in some of
21	the information that I've been reviewing
22	that the north side plant is maybe older and
23	less efficient than the St. Johns River
24	Power Plant had been. I just wanted to
25	know, in your assessment, if you did an

1	assessment, what your thoughts were on that.
2	MR. HARTMAN: I didn't do a detailed
3	assessment on that decision.
4	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Let's continue to look
5	forward then. One last question, if I may,
6	Plant Vogtle and the calculation of our
7	process, did you have any perspective on
8	that?
9	MR. HARTMAN: At the time, the first
10	time I looked at it, I was looking at it as
11	around a \$2 billion liability. And the next
12	time I looked at it, it was in the same
13	ballpark.
14	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: So it is quantifiable,
15	we hope; correct?
16	MR. HARTMAN: It's not the greatest
17	thing since sliced bread, but who knows what
18	happens in the future.
19	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you very much,
20	Mr. Hartman, appreciate it.
21	MR. HARTMAN: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I did want to point
23	out, and as I said, I sent out his
24	PowerPoint presentation to a number of folks
25	on Thursday afternoon and did receive a

1	response from Kerri Stewart you can have
2	a seat.
3	MR. HARTMAN: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: from the CCO, or
5	chief customer officer for JEA, and she did
6	provide these documents that, as we heard
7	from Mr. Hartman, they probably weren't
8	complete in terms of what they had, but
9	wanted to make sure. So that's why you have
10	those in hand, for you to take a look at
11	that at your convenience.
12	I'm going to sort of somewhat transition
13	now out into our next conversation.
14	Mr. Cloud, do you want to come forward?
15	You do have his presentation, a hard
16	copy, because he delivered those to us this
17	morning.
18	Vonya, do you have one down there you
19	can put on the screen?
20	If there is an extra one we can give to
21	Vonya so she can put it on the screen, that
22	would be great.
23	MR. CLOUD: There is an early rule we
24	learned called Murphy's Law: If it can go
25	wrong it will So I learned a long time

1 ago to bring printed material	s.
---------------------------------	----

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

My name is Tom Cloud. I'm a native of
Lakeland, fifth generation Floridian. Love
coming to Jacksonville. Had a great aunt
and uncle who lived up here in the Riverside
area. Saw a lot of interesting

Florida-Georgia games as I was growing up.

And what I wanted to talk with you about today, and try and be focused and brief, are municipal charter utility provisions specifically related to sales. If you were to take a time trip back to Florida shortly after the war between the states, what you'd find is a number of cities that are about to explode. What they're missing are utilities, water systems, sewer systems, electric systems. And these systems develop over a 40-or-50-year time period. And it's during that time period when Jacksonville first gets a water system, an electric system, the 1880s, '90s, into the 1900s. And it's during that time that a concept arises known as the Municipal Prerogative to Serve.

It's hard to imagine modern cities

without electric, water, and sewer, because
you can't get the size of, you know,

population without those basic services, so
they're massively important to local
government. They're massively important to
your populations. And they, quite rightly,
deserve your attention at this scale.

2.2

So what I looked at today and wanted to share with you is how municipal prerogative developed. Actually came from Jacksonville, from the JEA, they originally articulated the argument in the 1990s. There was a historical general law that was put in place that required referendum before local governments could buy electric and gas systems, which was a direct offshoot of a Jacksonville case. And then you had these provisions and charters that have been around for about a hundred years that required referendum before you sold a utility system.

Then I wanted to visit just a moment about the context we're in today in Jacksonville, you know, what happened; and then what would happen if you used your

1 current Charter in going forward; and
2 finally some remaining questions I think you
3 might want to look at.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And so in Florida we have something known as the Municipal Prerogative to Serve, and that's been around since the 19th Century. This prerogative is based on the power to grant franchises. Franchises are the right to operate a business within the city limits that uses rights of way. The original franchising law was actually drafted by Henry Flagler and was adopted by the Florida legislature in 1899. It contained a mandatory purchase option provision in that law because Flagler got gypped out of a streetcar line franchise in St. Augustine when he was developing the hotel chain that we have all come to know over the years. And it's held in trust sort of like the public trust doctrine for sovereignty lands, these franchising rights are held in trust for the public. They're very important rights.

And under your Charter now, you hold the exclusive right to provide utilities within

the city. And when consolidation occurred in 1967, August 8th, to be precise, then they created the JEA.

2.2

2.4

It's clear in there that that power emanated from the City Council. And the Charter delegates the City's power to JEA. You have a conversation about what that looks like. And in a number of Florida cities, that's been handled different ways. And we'll look at that in just a minute.

Under the Charter they actually pay you franchise fees, those transfer payments are actually described in 27.07(1) as a basis of a franchise. So it's clear, an extrinsic review of your Charter indicates clearly that this power emanates from you on behalf of the people of the city of Jacksonville and your service area.

And JEA actually argued they first
articulated the notion of Municipal
Prerogative to Serve in a case from the
1990s that went all the way to the Supreme
Court. And that became sort of the
restatement of the law, it actually comes
from JEA.

So originally, Jacksonville got in the business, there was a local electric light company that was providing light, they didn't have radios and phonographs and the like in the 1890s, most of the early systems are all set up for, like, an insane asylum in Chattahoochee, or an ice plant in Lakeland, or streetlights in Jacksonville. The Jacksonville City Council decided that they wanted to provide lights to the private inhabitants of the city of Jacksonville and they hired General Electric to build them a generating plant in 1893.

2.2

It was challenged by the incumbent electric utility. And the Supreme Court ruled that, in fact, the very limited language of the Jacksonville Charter that allowed you to build a plant necessarily implied that you could serve customers.

That was back when Florida was controlled by something called Dillon's Law. You had the power that was expressly granted and necessarily inferred. And so the progeny of what became JEA was borne out of that lawsuit.

At the very next legislative session,

the Florida legislature sitting over in

Tallahassee passed a law that said no city

could buy or construct or lease a power

plant unless they had a referendum.

2.2

2.4

Now, you know, I have not spent the time doing the historical research to determine cause and effect there, but it does seem, you know, sort of an odd coincidence that this happens in the very next legislative session.

And so from 1897 to 1973, before a city could build or buy an electric plant, they had to hold a referendum, okay. In Orlando, when Orlando purchased Judge Cheney's Orlando Water and Light Company, they had to hold a referendum, 1922. It was the first time women could vote, okay, after the presidential election of 1920. And people of Orlando voted overwhelmingly to buy the plant under a purchase option. But that was the application of that law requiring referendum.

When home rule powers --

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Mr. Cloud, can we fast

Ţ	forward just a little bit on the history
2	lesson?
3	MR. CLOUD: Of course. When home rule
4	power is passed in 1973, they do away with
5	the law, what's left. Charter provisions
6	regulate the sale, which you have in your
7	Charter, and which your current draft
8	proposes to keep. And I think that's a very
9	important thing, because without it, we
10	probably wouldn't be having this
11	conversation today.
12	The next slide is the big takeaway.
13	It's a comparison of all of the entities
14	that are similar to JEA and how they handle
15	this kind of provision.
16	Bushnell, small city, Sumter County, has
17	a charter that says, before you can sell the
18	electric water and sewer system, you have to
19	have a referendum. And they do not have a
20	separate entity. But this is a modern case
21	because an electric cooperative tried to
22	force the sale by holding a referendum. And
23	the court threw it out, said, you tried to
24	hide the terms and conditions of the sale.
25	This would have applied here. Any

attempt to try and hide the terms and
conditions of the sale in a referendum could
have been shot down in court. So all the
efforts to mask and hide would have been
useless if you applied the law from the
Bushnell case, which dates from 2016, fairly
recent.

2.2

Fort Pierce Utility Authority has a separate entity. There the mayor is on the authority, but the city commission picks the remaining four. They have a referendum prior to sale.

Gainesville Regional does not have a separate membership, they are headed up by the city commission. They have a referendum on sale.

JEA, the Mayor picks and then you okay
it. But under your new provision, four
would be picked by City Council, three by
the Mayor. I mean, there is a lot of
different ways to do this, but I think there
is something to be said for a balancing of
power there, because it is who you put, it
is the people you put in position that make
the difference. It absolutely is the

people. Good people can make good things
happen.

2.2

And I'm hopeful, you know, you've got some interesting business people who have stepped forward to serve. And, you know, we had a similar experience, Jerry and I, in New Smyrna where some really good people came in to serve on the utility's commission, made a tremendous difference in the operation of that utility. But in New Smyrna they're all selected by the city commission, every one of them is selected by the city commission.

And OUC in Orlando, which is the only one of these major ones that does not have the referendum protection for the customers, they're throwing off \$87 million a year to the City of Orlando. The mayor serves on the commission, but the rest of the utility's commission is selected by the city council.

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: To your point then,
everywhere you have got NA, or not
applicable, I assume that means the
executive director or the managing director

1	reports directly to the council?
2	MR. CLOUD: Well, the people who serve
3	on the management board of the
4	semiautonomous political subdivision that is
5	the utility authority, or the utility
6	commission, yeah, they are selected by the
7	city council or the city commission.
8	And believe me, that makes a big
9	difference, first advice I gave to the
10	utility's commission was there had been a
11	little bit of history of friction. I said,
12	you have got to get along with the city
13	commission. That is absolutely essential,
14	it is existential.
15	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: All right. If we
16	could move on, we're running very quickly
17	out of time here. I apologize for that.
18	MR. CLOUD: Not a problem.
19	So the next poster you can say, since
20	the end of World War II, there aren't many
21	electric system sales in Florida, there just
22	aren't. Sebring sells in '93 and the going
23	concern value that was recognized out of
24	that sale was revisited upon the customers
25	in something called the Sebring writer,

which was a surcharge placed on their electric bills.

2.2

If you sell a system, the price is inflated by the contributed assets that were contributed by the customers originally.

Yeah, you might get a higher sales price, but guess who is going to pay that in higher rates down the road? It's going to be the customers.

So when Jerry and I were on a conference call with Mr. Zahn in early October and Jerry asked him the question, What is the level of contributed assets, the answer was, I'm not answering that question. Jerry is pretty good, he asked him that question about five or six different ways, could not get an answer. And it's interesting because four days after that conference call, there is an acceleration in the process of trying to get the sale done.

There is now a bill, by the way, pending in Florida legislature, Senate Bill 658, I think is the number, House Bill 207 that would seek to change Florida into a fair market value state, which means the public

service commission would have the discretion
to include contributed assets in the rate
base for private utilities, okay. That
means the people who contributed the
contributed assets are the customers, would
then have to pay rates again when the system
is sold.

2.2

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: If we could jump down to your slide if JEA had completed the ITN.

Do you want to kind of speak to that one quickly?

MR. CLOUD: Sure. Your Charter controls. So you had a requirement of ten percent is going to be sold, right, that you're going to be -- you know, there is going to have to be a review. And the way I read it, you have a statute that says, if you sell a water and sewer system, that has to go before a public hearing. And there are like ten issues. Well, one of those is, what's the impact to the customers of the system being sold going to be? So all of those issues would have been visited as a part of that process and for the electric system, because it's in the Charter.

A similar requirement from the Bushnell case, I think, would have applied that would have required the same kind of information that not only had to be disclosed to this Council, but would have had to have been disclosed to the public at large. So I think that there was a process in place.

And of course, we know that the disclosure of the PUP plan had sort of a, you know, apply-the-brakes effect to this.

2.2

Now, there have been a couple of what we call executive compensation plans that have occurred in Florida. One that Jerry and I are familiar with was when General Development Corporation, which is a large development corporation back in the '80s, went belly up. To keep their utility executives onboard, this is a private corporation, implemented something called the Executive Compensation Plan, which obviously had an impact on their testimony regarding what the value of the system was, because they got a higher bonus if the value was higher. And we had a lot of fun pointing that out in the depositions.

1	But it is unprecedented in Florida for
2	public corporations to have something like
3	an executive compensation plan. That's all
4	I'll say on that. We haven't seen it in
5	public entities and sales, just and we've
6	been doing this now for the better part of
7	40 years. So
8	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Mr. Bowman, did you
9	want to interject or question now?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: No.
11	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: At the end, okay.
12	Continue. I'm sorry.
13	MR. CLOUD: I'll just take you to the
14	last poster, because we've talked about the
15	two cases, the Miami case, which was a
16	charter case involving a convention center,
17	and they tried to take a referendum without
18	disclosing the terms and conditions. The
19	court said, no way. That case is later
20	applied in Bushnell.
21	So you have some remaining questions.
22	And the first one is why aren't other cities
23	trying to sell their electric systems if
24	there is this death spiral, as, what is it,
25	Chicken Little, the sky is falling? And the

answer is, I think you've had a lot of public testimony to date that says there is no death spiral. If there was a death spiral, then why did every investor and utility in Florida make a bid on -- or I know you -- the public can't see the number now, okay. But why did they make a bid if the business is in a death spiral, inquiring minds want to know.

2.2

So if there is a premium value when they sell these systems, from whom will the premium be collected? And as happened in Sebring, that premium was collected from the customers, who know that Sebring writer is not the name of a song from the mid-'60s from Detroit, but is rather a surcharge on their electric bills.

And then there is a couple of bills that are sitting out there. One, which was filed right before session, House Bill 653, which seeks to prohibit the use of electric revenues by cities for municipal general fund purposes. Probably not going anywhere today. Can you imagine what would happen to Tallahassee -- which has very little taxable

property downtown, it's all state

property -- if they could no longer use

electric funds as transfer funds for the

city of Tallahassee? But it is interesting

to see this gets filed around the time when

all this is going on. I'm just wondering

what a strange coincidence that is.

2.2

And then, of course, this bill that's sitting in front of the legislature dealing with giving the PSC the discretion to include contributed assets in the sale, which they've never done before. There is a little bit of a trend allowing that around the country. And wherever the bill passes, there are a number of sales that then take place with inflated sales prices and of course, we all know where that inflation is going to be extracted from on the back end. So maybe the bill is not such a good idea in this context, just a thought.

And then, of course, you are looking at amendments to the City Charter, and it is good. I notice during the development of the process that in the beginning, in late 2017, someone points out, Well, there is no

1	referendum requirement, which was true. I
2	mean, that's the best kind of advice, tell
3	the truth. And so within a year, the
4	referendum requirement was put in the
5	Charter. And just looking at it from a
6	distance, I think that one change had a
7	tremendous impact on your ability to have
8	input into the process.
9	So whatever you do, hang on to that
10	requirement, because it's the only way the
11	public gets a view of what's going on.
12	And I'd be happy to answer any questions
13	you may have.
14	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: We'll start with
15	Council Member Bowman.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: I'll use
17	Mr. Freeman's. Thank you, Mr. Freeman.
18	Thank you. Through the Chair. And for
19	both of you, thank you for coming today.
20	I may be asking something that's outside
21	of your expertise, but I'll ask it anyway
22	and give you a chance to opine. We took
23	some hittings from the bond rating agencies
24	last week. And certainly, my feeling is
25	that's a shot across the bow and could

1	continue to happen unless we stabilize. And
2	we'll ask of our financial officers to see
3	if they can assess. I think it's going to
4	be in the tens of millions of dollars
5	potentially over time.
6	So my question is, from what I
7	understood from the rating agencies, the
8	things they didn't like was the fact that we
9	don't really have a board now, we don't have
10	leadership, and they want the authority to
11	maintain their independence. So my question
12	looking forward to both of you are, with all
13	your work with all those utilities, what
14	would you recommend to us to try to stop the

MR. CLOUD: Well, solidify the membership of the board and put an all-point search on for the best utilities manager/director you can find.

pain from potentially really getting hit

from our rating agencies?

It's -- we went through a similar process in 2018 for utilities commission in New Smyrna Beach. You can't have Joe Bunch. He's really good, he came from Exelon, but they opened it up, used a search firm and

1	looked at a lot of different possibilities.
2	Ended up with a guy who had been in the
3	private sector, but he's had a remarkably
4	positive impact.
5	Because, you know, the staffs of these
6	utilities are some of the best people in the
7	world to work with, because they their
8	whole mantra is make sure service gets
9	delivered at a reasonable price,
10	environmentally acceptable. The people that
11	are out in the field, that is what they
12	aspire to. And that kind of inspiration
13	deserves inspirational leadership.
14	So that would be my advice to you, is
15	looking forward nail that down and find the
16	best director you can find.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BOWMAN: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: So in the context of
19	that question, if a a five-star managing
20	director, executive director, would be very
21	helpful in expediting the concerns we just
22	heard about; correct?
23	MR. CLOUD: Yes, sir.
24	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Overcoming those?
25	MR. CLOUD: It's the identity of the

1	people who hold the position who step
2	forward to do the right thing, that is
3	always the most important thing when it
4	comes to resolving these issues.
5	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Appreciate that very
6	much.
7	Council Member Hazouri.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Thank you,
9	Mr. Chairman.
10	Just to follow up on that one quickly,
11	what Mr. Bowman didn't say is we have our
12	investigatory committee going and, of
13	course, we have this one in a positive way,
14	and then we have the FBI doing whatever.
15	Does it still behoove us we will get a
16	new board but to also seek out the CEO
17	and hopefully the expectations of what we're
18	doing here by the bond houses will see that
19	we're moving forward? Will that impact that
20	or will this investigation, both internally
21	and with the FBI, stymie it? I know you
22	probably can't answer that.
23	MR. CLOUD: I don't know that. I can
24	tell you that I represented a little city
25	that was about to dissolve. And they were

1	all upset because the former city manager
2	took a million dollars of city impact fees
3	that was supposed to go to the county and
4	used it to balance her budget. Not a good
5	thing. Probably could have ended her up in
6	jail, but didn't.
7	And I told them, I said, you are going
8	to lose the future if you waste more of your
9	time on the past.
10	Now, that does not mean you should end
11	your investigation. I'm not suggesting
12	that. I am saying that at some level you
13	have to focus on the future. Let the
14	investigation do whatever it is it's going
15	to do, but the future is so important here
16	because you have such a great place to start
17	from.
18	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Thank you. So
20	New Smyrna, y'all's board that you're very
21	proud of, are they business people or do
22	they meet categories, any of them engineers,
23	doctors, lawyers, beggars? I mean, do y'all

MR. CLOUD: Business people.

itemize --

24

1	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Just general?
2	MR. CLOUD: That's right. We have a guy
3	who owns an automobile dealership, Jack
4	Holcomb; we have a guy who owns an insurance
5	company, Buddy Davenport; we have a lady
6	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I get it.
7	MR. CLOUD: who is a CPA. They're
8	business people and they really care about
9	their community.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I appreciate
11	that. The last question: The bill that was
12	introduced, I understand why that other one,
13	you have money come in, you can't spend it
14	on municipal government, and I think there
15	is some rhyme or reason in South Florida why
16	that's introduced. Why is this bill here,
17	what is the underlying reason for it being
18	introduced? I mean, it has to affect
19	being in the legislature for 12 years, there
20	is always something that lies beneath. What
21	is the cause for this piece of legislation
22	coming forward, do you know?
23	MR. CLOUD: Well, I mean, specifically,
24	I don't know. But I do know I was riding in
25	a car about three weeks before the bill was

1	introduced and Mr. Hartman said, You know, I
2	bet you this bill is going to get
3	introduced. And sure enough, three weeks
4	later the bill appears. So I'm sure it's
5	just a huge coincidence. And none of us
6	followed, you know, Jethro's from NCIS Rule
7	37 about there are no coincidences.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Generally, it's
9	something that they experience in their own
10	particular county and they want to apply it
11	to the rest of the world. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: We have two more
13	questioners.
14	Council Member Priestly Jackson.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON:
16	Through the Chair.
17	I just have one question: Because of
18	our unique nature of consolidation and home
19	rule, would our Charter preempt any language
20	in the Florida statutes or any proposed
21	legislation relative to JEA because of
22	consolidation and home rule? So, in
23	essence, does our Charter supersede the
24	language in the Florida statutes in this
25	case for us.

1	MR. CLOUD: Well, it's actually a very
2	difficult question to answer because there
3	are exceptions to what I'm going to tell
4	you. But the general rule is general law is
5	going to trump charters in about 99 percent
6	of the cases. There is one rule one
7	percent exception out there. But, yeah, if
8	they pass a general law that says you can
9	include contributed assets, yeah, that's
10	going to control.
11	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Council Member DeFoor.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: Thank you,
13	Mr. Chair. And through the Chair.
14	Just following up on Councilman
15	Hazouri's question on the board and what the
16	board make-up looks like, were any of the
17	board requirements that they could not
18	receive public funding to be able to be a
19	board member of a utility?
20	MR. CLOUD: Well, I think that the
21	ethics code covers that, I think. I mean, I
22	know that it I'm being asked to provide
23	an the four-hour ethics lecture to the
24	utilities commission in New Smyrna Beach, so
25	I would imagine that those laws apply. I

noticed that they are reproduced to be
incorporated into the Charter. That can
hardly be a bad thing, because -- but I
think they apply anyway to someone that's on
political subdivision, at least that's my
reading of the ethics code.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I want to thank you both for your time today. I appreciate for those out there, we're making sausage here it kind of looks like, but I do appreciate the fact we have to get to the nitty-gritty. And the material you provided us today and the perspective you gave us is invaluable. So thank you very, very much for your time and your contribution to today's conversation.

I'm going to try and push this to 1:15

if I have the consensus of the group to keep
this moving forward, because we have a lot
of work to do still as it relates to the
Article 21. You've got a booklet in front
of you. I wanted to invite Ms. Sidman to
come up. What you have is a -- and we will
be putting it up on a screen. I spent -- we

spent a number of hours attempting to aggregate the suggestions of any of our -- all of our colleagues have made, and a few others, as it relates to items to go into the Charter. And rather than generating a whole bunch of ordinances, we thought it best to start with the process of doing the red-line version.

2.2

In your packet, so what you have is the very first tab is the red-line version, which we're going to try and go through in some portion quickly today, more from the perspective of doing a couple things.

Number one, introducing them to you.

Allowing you an opportunity to give some feedback either today or at a future meeting so we know exactly what you're looking for in terms of expectations, some pending legislation that's already in the queue as far as this is concerned, other related legislation that had been introduced in the past and enacted that may be a matter of consideration here.

Then, of course -- and I shouldn't say of course, because most of us weren't even

aware they existed, there is, obviously, the

JEA bylaws you might expect, but there is

also a very detailed JEA policy manual which

was very, very helpful in understanding how

the board is expected to operate, as well as

the managing director in that process.

2.2

So I'm going to ask, Ms. Sidman, if you would. Walk us through some of the items that we talked about to give us an overview, if you will. And I want to thank her and Ms. Hodges, she's not here -- she is here, there she is, and a few others from the OGC who met -- I met with and gone over a number of times the red-lined -- actually, it's arguably a blue line as we look at it. But I'll turn the floor over to you. Thank you.

MS. SIDMAN: Thank you. Peggy Sidman,
Office of General Counsel.

When we last met on January 27th, there were a number of things that we identified for the Committee. The first was generally the five buckets of areas that the Council had identified that they were interested in charter changes. At that meeting, we were requested two things. One of which I think

you have in front of you is the SOE

deadlines for when a referendum would have

to be given to SOE. And then we've backed

into that the last possible date with no

deferrals and no delays that a bill would

have to be introduced. So that's presented

to you for your consideration.

2.2

And then the second thing that was asked of us, which I've handed out, it's in your notebooks, but I've handed out separately because I'm going to go through it in that manner in your notebooks, is a list of items, if you will, and where they're found in Article 21. And so I hope that will be helpful to you. You might want to make notes on that, whatever your system is.

So as Council Member Boylan mentioned, this was a very large, collaborative effort between myself, Lawsikia Hodges, Ariel Cook, and Julie Davis. And so I'm going to go through and I'm going to do it in reverse order, and there is a reason for that. I think a lot of the meatier stuff that you're going to have questions on are going to come in the back. I'll work through to the

1	front, and just very quickly. And then for
2	deeper dives, if you have questions, I'll
3	call my colleagues up and we can address, in
4	turn, the sections that we each took.
5	Vonya, are you doing the overhead?
6	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Yes. We're looking at
7	page 22.
8	MS. SIDMAN: So I'm going to start on
9	your sheet here, it's 21.11. It's the very
10	last section. And we're going to start on
11	page 22. So this is the privatization. The
12	privatization something, obviously, a lot of
13	Council Members were interested in. What we
14	did there was we pulled out that 10 percent
15	section and put it in 21.11(a), so that's
16	where you'll see that no more than 10
17	percent can be sold. There is also
18	preliminary approval that you'll see in
19	21.11(b), the retention of consultants in
20	21.11(c), and then budget issues. You can
21	see at the bottom of the page there is other
22	discussion points depending on how the
23	Council wants to go through and address
24	that.
25	Okay. So I'm going to ask you now to

1	turn to
2	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I'm sorry.
3	Mr. Becton.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: Thank you.
5	Through the Chair.
6	Ms. Sidman, so going back to the old
7	conversation of what is ten percent, have we
8	clarified that?
9	MS. SIDMAN: Yes. We have a memo that I
10	circulated after that noticed meeting that
11	you had requested that. And I think I
12	circulated that to full city
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BECTON: I'm talking
14	about putting it in the Charter some kind of
15	definition of what that is, rather than
16	having to refer to some memo which could be
17	changed based on legal counsel in the
18	future.
19	MS. SIDMAN: And that's an excellent
20	point. All of this that I have presented to
21	you is just a starting point. So as you
22	read this and give us your policy decisions
23	and the directions that you intend to take,
24	we'll modify this. There is no pride in
25	authorship here. And we're hoping we got

1 something right in there, but whatever we 2 didn't, we'll correct. 3 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: And that's precisely the kind of information we're trying to get 4 5 through in this process, is what do you think, what's in it, what's out of it. 6 Mr. Dennis, you were in the queue. Did 7 8 I miss you from before? I apologize, but I 9 just opened up the slate. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: Yes. Through 11 the Chair. I was -- I had a question about 12 this particular sheet, the election sheet, 13 and I'm looking at the November general 14 election, the council introduction, 15 June 9th. Now, does this take into account 16 if it's a charter change how it has to sit 17 for 60 days, then an additional 90 days? 18 Because if that's the case, it doesn't 19 appear to be 60 days on here for council 20 approval if it's introduced on June 9th. 21 can you clarify that, please. 2.2 MS. SIDMAN: Through the Chair to 23 Council Member Dennis. Great question. 24 referendum, just the referendum, is the

simple majority, so it doesn't go through

25

1	the two-thirds vote of the charter change.
2	All the Council is doing is putting it on
3	the ballot. So it would be considered a
4	normal cycle. You don't have the 60, 90
5	days as is triggered when you have the
6	two-thirds vote changing by the City
7	Council.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: So question, so
9	right now I've introduced a bill that
10	changes the make-up of the board. And it's
11	sitting on now the 60 day, 90 day before we
12	vote on it. So it's a charter change. So
13	how is that different from what you're
14	telling us today?
15	MS. SIDMAN: Your referendum bill, which
16	is 2020-100, is a referendum change. And it
17	should have no 60 day, 90 days, but I'm
18	happy to get with you on that.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER DENNIS: All right.
20	Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Just to be clear, in
22	the context of that, as we go through this,
23	the only thing we do see that is likely to
24	require a referendum is the appointment
25	bill. And, Council Member Dennis, in this

1	scenario, what's happening is your bill is
2	being introduced to say, let's put it on the
3	ballot. We're not making the change, per
4	se, in the Charter; we're just saying let's
5	put the appointment process on the ballot
6	for consideration.
7	Is that correct, Ms. Sidman?
8	MS. SIDMAN: Through the Chair, that's
9	correct.
10	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: All right. Council
11	Member Hazouri.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Just to
13	clarify, and I hate to get into another
14	topic, but I want to make sure, when you say
15	referendum, when we put it on the ballot,
16	what is your drop-dead date? Isn't it 180
17	days before the November election? Tell me
18	when the the reason I'm saying this, I'm
19	thinking school board, I'm thinking
20	Mr. Garrett's bill. So I'm trying to figure
21	out is there one date that we're dealing
22	with.
23	MS. SIDMAN: Yes, sir. This material
24	that I sent out to you that was passed
25	around, this is for any referendum. These

1 are the drop-dead dates. The first dates 2 where it says SOE deadline, I got those from the Supervisor of Elections and then we 3 backed into it the council introduction 4 date. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I just don't 6 7 want to get those confused. And I know we 8 have to go through the same process on the 9 Council, Mr. Chairman. And I don't want to 10 find ourselves all of a sudden that time ran 11 out. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: This came from Mr. Hogan's office, so we understand this is 13 14 practical. 15 I have Mr. Salem in the queue. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: Mr. Chair, if I 17 could suggest that we allow Ms. Sidman to go 18 through this document without interruption, I have questions as well, but I would like 19 20 to see her get through it and then we can take turns asking questions, if that's okay. 21 2.2 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: And likely, as I said, 23 this is sort of a work in process, this 24 gives you a chance to digest some of the

concerns and changes in here, and we may not

25

1 address them all today. We can do that one 2 on one at some point in time, Ms. Sidman. 3 I'm sorry, Ms. Sidman. If you would, 4 please proceed. 5 MS. SIDMAN: Sure. And so again, back to your index, 21.09, Contracts, that's 6 7 going to be found on page 19. And much of 8 this provision has to do with procurement. 9 Right now the procurement code -- JEA has 10 their own procurement code. And the way that this is drafted is it continues with 11 12 JEA having their own procurement code and not pulling it under the City. But you can 13 14 see in 21.09(b) that there would have to be 15 some conversation if the Council decided to 16 have the procurement code be brought back 17 underneath the City. 18 21.09(c) has some minority business 19 enterprises, JSEB programs, and 20 clarification, we heard that from some of the Council Members. 21 2.2 And then -- 29 -- sorry 21.09(d) is the 23 Professional Services and Other Services. 24 As you can imagine, there is a number of 25 professional services that JEA engages in,

1	not just the consultants and lawyers that
2	have been the topic recently.
3	I'm going to draw your attention to the
4	very top of that page. It's in the previous
5	section, 21.08, but it's (f), and that is
6	the Employee Bonus Or Incentive Programs.
7	This was an attempt to draft around the PUP.
8	So I draw your attention to that.
9	Next I'm going to move back to 21.08,
10	which is called Employees and Managing
11	Directors. That's found on page 16.
12	Are you with me, Vonya?
13	All right. So 21.08(a) is just general
14	contracts, and we have some provisions in
15	there, but 21.08(b) specifically talks to
16	the managing director and provisions that
17	the Council, we took, would maybe want to be
18	included in that.
19	Then we have other employees, which is
20	21.08(c). And there's 48 staff assistants
21	and managing directors who serve at the
22	pleasure of JEA. Those are usually your
23	department heads, your deputy directors,
24	they're just listed in that provision.

And then I know Council Member Salem and

others were interested in this section, 21.08(e), which is Employment Contracts. That's very detailed, and it's drafted in the alternative. If you switch the page to page 18, in the middle of the page, you'll see a big "or." So again, we are looking for your guidance on what you want to see there.

2.2

I'll draw out just a couple of things
generally before the "or," you know, there's
a five-year contract. There's no severance
pay. The contract shall not contain
mandatory consulting. There is no release,
no indemnity, no release. And then there is
a provision contained, termination without
cause provision and so on. So these are,
again, for your review and dialogue.

So then we turn back to 21.04(p), as in Paul. This is where you currently find the ten percent -- let me get the page for you, page 8, it's where you currently find the ten percent sale of JEA in the requirement for the referendum. As you saw, we put that in the back and had its own section so it was clear. It is also the provision in

which you see notice to the auditors, that
was a dialogue that occurred, Council Woman
Priestly Jackson. And there is other -there are other provisions that are coming
out that will address some of those things,
but I draw your attention to that.

2.2

One thing that I think has come to the attention of the Office of General Counsel that we wanted to bring your attention to is also found in 21.04, it's 21.04(b), as in boy, and it's found on page 4. This is a provision about real estate. As you can imagine, with easements and undergrounding and water, there is a lot of real estate that the JEA handles.

And it was drawn to my attention by Ms.

Hodges that the board doesn't presently have
control over real estate; it's done through
policies that tends to be, at present,
controlled by the CEO.

So the recommendation from our office for you to consider is that the board shall adopt real estate codes governing the sale, lease, transfer, and sale of real property pursuant to this subsection.

Τ	Now, I'm going to turn to 21.03, which
2	is found on page 2, and this is the Board
3	Establishment. The Council and you saw
4	through Council Member Dennis' bill that's
5	already been filed, as I mentioned,
6	2020-100, the change of the board to the
7	three appointed by the Mayor and four
8	appointed by the Council. We have included
9	that in here because our direction was to
10	put everything all together regardless of
11	whether it was referendum or it was going to
12	be a change by the Council by two-thirds
13	vote. We've modified it and structured it a
14	little bit differently, and we would, of
15	course, pull these things out based upon
16	whether they would need a referendum or be
17	by two-thirds vote of Council.
18	But I draw your attention there to the
19	Qualifications of the Board, which is
20	21.03(a); and then the Removal of the Board
21	Members, that was an issue that a number of
22	Council Members brought to our attention,
23	21.03(b).
24	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: It's (c), actually.
25	MS. SIDMAN: I'm sorry, it's (c). Thank

1	you.
2	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Could you speak to (b)
3	briefly too?
4	MS. SIDMAN: So Council Member Boylan is
5	asking me to speak to 21.03(b). It talks
6	about no member of the JEA holding any other
7	public office or position. That's
8	underlined as if it's new; it's not new.
9	It's in current code, but it got a new
10	subsection, so that's why it's underlined.
11	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Because there had been
12	some conversation about one of the board
13	positions to be held by an employee of JEA.
14	And we're pretty much precluded from doing
15	that; correct?
16	MS. SIDMAN: That's right.
17	Do you want me to go on?
18	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Please.
19	MS. SIDMAN: Okay. The compensation
20	provision, there was a thought by one of the
21	Council Members that the board members would
22	be paid. So this is the provision in here
23	where they would be allowed to be paid for
24	their expenses.
25	I'm going to turn to page 3, Vonya.

1	I draw your attention to 21.03(g), this
2	has to do with the meetings. You may recall
3	that the meetings were less than they
4	could be less than every month. The
5	Council, as you're aware, takes a Christmas
6	break and a summer break. We put in eight
7	months. It's whatever the Council chooses.
8	This is just to start your dialogue.
9	And then I think of interest would be
10	21.03(h), which is the agenda. And this
11	goes to what many of you and I think
12	Council Member Priestly Jackson mentioned
13	about when the agenda is finalized, when
14	it's available to the public, when the
15	auditors would get the information. And so
16	this was being drafted to allow for those
17	materials to require for those materials
18	to be available two days prior to the JEA
19	meetings.
20	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: And it's not just the
21	agendas, it's all the resource materials
22	that's going to be presented to the board at
23	that point in time.
24	MS. SIDMAN: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: And we limit ourselves

to two days prior, because the bylaws of the

JEA bylaws allow them to call for meetings

two days in advance of any special board

meetings. We tried to be consistent with

existing bylaws.

Go ahead.

2.2

MS. SIDMAN: Yes. And so again, this is a beginning point. We've attempted to draft -- not having met directly with, one on one with all of you, but if you take these sections and provide us guidance, I'm not sure how the Chair wants us to handle this, that this is for your review, for your edits. And then as you all decide how is this going to go, if there is a separation of powers, which would be more than likely in a referendum; and if not a separation of powers, then it would be the two-thirds vote with the 60, 90 days that Council Member Dennis was reminding us of earlier.

So once we get the document correct as you direct, then we'll be able to give you guidance on whether it would be referendum or the two-thirds vote of Council.

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: And we pretty much

1	determined likely it will be three bills
2	that will come out: One is a bill for a
3	referendum; second one I have been
4	characterizing as sort of an omnibus
5	correction, JEA correction bill that speaks
6	to a lot of these issues
7	MS. SIDMAN: To the Charter.
8	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Exactly, to the
9	Charter. And then third any kind of changes
10	to the ordinance code would be required in
11	some instances, but we'll make those
12	determinations once we finalize on the
13	language and your expectations with respect
14	to what's in what changes are going to be
15	made to the Charter; correct?
16	MS. SIDMAN: Correct.
17	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: All right. We
18	didn't I missed it perhaps. Didn't we
19	talk about the ability or precluding the
20	ability of board members from personally
21	gaining from a role for a period of time?
22	Did I miss that? Was it one year, two years
23	we said they could not two years?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRARO: Two years.
25	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I think it's in there.

1	I probably missed it. I know it's in there
2	somewhere.
3	MS. SIDMAN: Yes, there is a provision
4	on that. I'll have to find it.
5	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Okay. Thank you.
6	All right. I'm interested in getting
7	the feedback from the group in terms of the
8	approach, number one, and specifics.
9	Obviously, I do anticipate and Ms. Gogo (ph)
10	is in the audience today, that JEA obviously
11	might want to opine or weigh in on some of
12	these things as we move them forward because
13	some of those things are obviously very
14	relative to them.
15	The expediency, I appreciate it, Council
16	Member Bowman's comments before, of us
17	moving this forward really is twofold, so we
18	can get ourselves back on track. And also
19	as we bring onboard new board members and,
20	essentially, a managing director, we want to
21	know the rules they have to play by. So I'm
22	looking forward to us moving through this
23	process, but I want to make sure it's as
24	complete as possible.
25	With that, I'm going to open up the

1	floor.
2	Council Member Salem, I still have you
3	in the queue.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: Thank you,
5	Mr. Chair. Through the Chair.
6	Just a few broad comments. Page 9, if
7	they enter in a new activity, should that be
8	approved by the Council just something to
9	think about or are we going to rely on
10	the board to do that?
11	I did want to get into, from my
12	perspective, on page getting into the
13	employment contracts, I feel very strongly,
14	from my perspective, there should be one
15	employee contract for the CEO only. It
16	is as I think most of us know, that is
17	pretty consistent within our authorities,
18	other than the JTA having two. And I've
19	spoken to Nat Ford, and I think he's in the
20	process of evaluating that second one.
21	But I think all and I've got a
22	resolution coming in the not-too-distant
23	future that basically says because it's
24	difficult for the Council to regulate the

other three authorities because of the state

appointments and everything. But I'm going to put forward a resolution that says there will be one person who has an employee contract, and that is the CEO. And I think that's where we should go with the JEA.

2.2

And I think five years is a little long myself. I think it's -- well, shall not exceed five years. I think it should be a little less than that.

And the JEA also has a short-term incentive plan, and I think that should be addressed through this. It's been going on for 20 years, and the union contract that we just approved has that incentive plan in it for the next three years. We're in the middle of this physical year, but it is my feeling that the short-term incentive plan ought to be approved on a yearly basis by the board and then come to the Council for approval.

CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: In every instance -and thank you for those comments. I'd ask
that you put them in writing and submit them
to Ms. Sidman so she can incorporate them
and so we have the language as you intend it

1	to be.
2	COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: I'm not sure
3	everyone agrees with that.
4	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: It doesn't matter
5	right now. Throw it all in the soup and
6	we'll see how it comes out the other end.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: Okay. And those
8	are my big comments. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
9	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Council Member
10	Priestly Jackson.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON:
12	Through the Chair to Ms. Sidman. Thank you
13	for this information in giving us a starting
14	point. I think my concern with 21.11 is
15	privatization or reorganization of JEA, it
16	does not appear to mention the council
17	auditors. Let me be clear that, but for the
18	council auditors, the ITN nor the PUP would
19	have been stopped. I want to be real clear.
20	They did their watchdog function.
21	Anybody can act like it. They were the ones
22	that called both of those issues to our
23	attention.
24	So I don't see them mentioned in 21.11
25	at all, and that is troubling to me. So I

would definitely like some language included regarding notice to them in 21.11.

2.2

Moving on to 21.09, the procurement code, I don't know what the consultation has been, but you can share it with us with the council auditors or with the city procurement department. I know that individuals from those areas have shared concerns with me. I would like to know what concerns they had relative to the classification of the recapitalization of JEA as a procurement process to begin with. And Mr. Gabriel and I had fun with the word procurement, that they found that a bit troubling. So I want to make sure their concerns are included in any changes to the section on the procurement code.

Now, moving on to 21.04, which is where a lot of this got started for us. I am concerned again with language in 21.04(p), I'm pretty certain it's going to be, even though we've pulled out recapitalization from that in terms of we've stricken the language in the beginning that says, transfer, sale, finance, lease, or

otherwise, it now says services, or

products, by-products. We still don't have

a trigger language in there of when to

contact, when to notify the council

auditors.

2.2

And I don't want to be in a scenario again where there was a debate about when they should have been contacted, what necessitated their being contacted. So it needs to be spelled out, and it needs to have a clear, definitive time frame, so if it's when the board takes an action, you know, I'm classifying that, but I don't want to get back into a semantics conversation in our discussions, which amounted to an argument with General Counsel's interpretation of when 30 days becomes 30 days and what applies to it.

And then the last area that -- I like the 21.03 language as we talk about the board. I would just ask that, if these are the qualifications we're leaning towards, then I'm not certain that I think we need five years, but we definitely need a lot more than six months for board members. So

1	I like the years requirement.
2	Have all of the proposed board members,
3	new board members by the Mayor, have all of
4	those met the new requirements, because I'd
5	hate to have to ask somebody to get off,
6	because I will in six months if they don't
7	meet that. So we probably want to check
8	those that are being recommended by the
9	Mayor to see if they fit within a time frame
10	of this kind of period, five years, four
11	years, or whatever that's going to be.
12	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: If that happens, we
13	have one of those candidates in the room, by
14	invitation. He advised me he's not been in
15	this town for six months or six years.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: I want
17	to be real clear, I think longevity matters,
18	I do. I think longevity fosters a
19	commitment to the City of Jacksonville, to
20	the residents and a better understanding of
21	the issues. I do think that needs to be
22	cross-referenced with those individuals that
23	the Mayor has recommended for appointment.
24	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Let me ask the
25	question in the context, Ms. Sidman, if

1 someone is appointed in advance of the 2 changes to the charter rules, does that 3 apply to them at that point in time or are they somewhat grandfathered in, if you will? 4 5 It's a consideration, I agree, that we should take a look at as a Rules Committee 6 7 Member to say, you know, Our plan is to make 8 it six years. Does it work for you? 9 MS. SIDMAN: Right. So through the 10 Chair. Yeah, the board members have to meet 11 the requirements in the law at the point in 12 time when they're being appointed. If the 13 law changes, then the new board members 14 would have to meet those requirements. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: I want 16 to follow up on that, but I think we want to 17 talk about our intent. So I don't think you 18 would want to bring anybody on. We already 19 clearly know where we're going in some 20 direction. So whatever the settling point 21 becomes of the tenure, I think that's 2.2 incumbent to look at those being appointed 23 now to fulfill that. Other than that, 24 you're going to have these bifurcated boards 25 like we had before that we think was part of

1 the basis of the problem we have now.

make an informed decision.

2.2

And so I'm just saying it needs -whatever the time frame we're contemplating,
it's easy to say, Well, this person has been
here a year, this person has been here two
years, this person has been here six months.
You follow me? And then the Council can

The last question I have, this whole debate between referendum and charter changes, my understanding -- and I really like the referendum language, because it seems cleaner to me, it seems like we can present an entire slate of what we want to do and let the voters go on it.

I was told early on that, if we were talking about any change to the powers of an independent authority, changes to the power of the General Counsel's Office, changes to the powers of the City Council, or Mayor, or anybody else, that that required a charter change.

And so my question is can that same proposed change be done under referendum?

It's going to change the Charter, but can

1	you use just a referendum to make those
2	charter changes in one fell swoop that we
3	might want to make.
4	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: You want to speak to
5	that?
6	MS. SIDMAN: Right. So the change
7	would depending on if it's a separation
8	of powers issues, would require a charter
9	referendum. And then that language would be
10	put on the referendum to make that change.
11	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: We've got three more
12	Council Members in the queue and one public
13	comment card. So I'm going to try to limit
14	to that, if we can.
15	Council Member Hazouri.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: I'm just
17	teetering on the questions from I guess
18	from I guess maybe just Ms. Priestly
19	Jackson. But the one question I have, so we
20	pass the referendum on changing four and
21	three to four and three. So what happens
22	I got the other information, unless I missed
23	something, I'm trying to plug in this
24	answer. What happens if we pass this and

now we're four and three, Council and Mayor,

what happens to those seven? I mean, Mayor

can keep four of them, I guess, but what is

the process? I don't see it in here. And I

didn't know how we pick up on that.

2.2

MS. SIDMAN: It's a great question. So if you approve seven people that have been appointed by the Mayor, it would be beneficial, I think, to identify the next individuals that then would be appointed by the Council, because if the individuals all roll off in one year, then the Council would get all their appointments in one year. And it may be beneficial to put it into the document that says something like, the Mayor will have, you know, three years, consecutive years, and the Council will have four consecutive years of which three years will overlap.

So in other words, there's two appointees in '21, two appointees in '22, two appointees in '23, and one appointee in '24. Otherwise, the Council will be doing their appointments, if it's first out, all at one time and then not again for a period of time. So it's something that we could

1	use some guidance on how you would like to
2	see that if you want us to draft that.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: Thank you,
4	Peggy.
5	I don't want to write it. You know the
6	problem, and if we can do that, pursue it, I
7	think it's really important so we don't get
8	caught up with seven members for the next
9	four years.
10	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Absolutely. With all
11	due respect to our current Council
12	President, you don't want one Council
13	President appointing four board members at
14	the same time.
15	COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: Oh, come on
16	now.
17	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: As it relates to our
18	current situation, just to be clear, all of
19	the seven appointees that have been proposed
20	right now, they're going to be filling open
21	positions, which are staggered; correct?
22	MS. SIDMAN: Correct. The terms do not
23	change, so you'll have your staggered
24	appointments continuing. So some will have
25	shorter terms and some will have longer

1	terms.
2	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: So that will help us
3	in sort of orchestrating this appointment
4	process.
5	We'll come back around to you if we have
6	time.
7	Council Member Morgan.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MORGAN: Thank you so
9	much, Mr. Chair. I don't know if I'm
10	getting way, way too much into the weeds,
11	but one of the things that I was looking at
12	in Section 21.03 with the Board
13	Establishment is something like board
14	training. Are we is that off the charts
15	for
16	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: It's embedded already
17	in the board manual, of the JEA board
18	manual. And as you look through these, I
19	would take a look at the JEA bylaws and the
20	board manual as well to see the materials in
21	there. I don't want to micromanage too much
22	to your point, but let's see what's already
23	in place.
24	If you don't see something in there
25	as a matter of fact, I even mentioned

1	suggested, and it's not in our purview to do
2	so, but the change to JEA bylaws to say all
3	changes to the bylaws must be approved by
4	City Council. We can't make that happen.
5	We'd like to see it in the bylaws
6	themselves.
7	But to your point, I do appreciate
8	let's take a look at that and see what that
9	means in the context of this process.
10	What I was trying to do is incorporate
11	to give you and I, as members of the Rules
12	Committee, some criteria to evaluate. So
13	what do you bring to the table? How do you
14	move JEA forward? What is your experience?
15	What is your background? What is your
16	knowledge that allows you to be qualified to
17	be a person, as we heard from Mr. Cloud, a
18	good business person who may be able to help
19	provide and understand the roles the
20	difference between governance and management
21	in this process.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MORGAN: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: And, Council Member
24	Diamond.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER DIAMOND: Thank you,

1 Mr. Chair. Just super briefly, because I know we're short on time. Love the idea of 2 3 the three buckets; it makes perfect sense to I have some nit-picks with different 4 me. 5 things in there, but I think everybody does. 6 So what is going to be the mechanism to kind of go through what we like and what we 7 8 don't like? 9 CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Well, we're kind of 10 spit-balling a little bit here. The idea at 11 this point in time is for you all, first of 12 all, are you comfortable with the process as 13 to -- we've developed? You're all good 14 where we are in this process. So I would 15 certainly fine tune your points to get them 16 to Ms. Sidman. Particularly, if there is language in there that isn't clear as to 17 18 what your intention was, to make sure that 19 information is provided to her as well. 20 And then we will, at the next iteration 21

And then we will, at the next iteration of this meeting, we will have an opportunity to begin to put a finer point on each and every one of these items.

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DIAMOND: Okay. So thank you for that. I really appreciate it. This

1	is a great process.
2	The second question I have for you is I
3	have a couple bills in mind that would
4	implicate JEA but are not JEA specific. So
5	they would hit all the other authorities, so
6	they might hit the executive branch or
7	whatever or even us. So are you comfortable
8	with going ahead and introducing those even
9	though they have kind of a bank shot on JEA,
10	but are not specifically about JEA?
11	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I think that would be
12	fine. We shouldn't preclude from that kind
13	of work being done. I fully expect, through
14	this experience, to maybe take a look at
15	some of the other authorities as well.
16	Maybe after 50 years it's time for us to
17	take a look at the Charter and see what we
18	need to do to tighten it up a little bit or
19	clean it up.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DIAMOND: Great. Thank
21	you. Thanks for all the work.
22	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Council Member Salem.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: Just a suggestion
24	on the four-three issue. As I recall,
25	Councilman Newby recommended Dr. Faison from

1	EWC, and Councilman Gaffney recommended
2	Dr. Haley from Shands, or UF Health. Maybe
3	we could finesse this in a way that we can
4	get a certain number that are Council
5	approval and not have to deal with this
6	issue of the four-three, assuming it goes to
7	a referendum.
8	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: The challenge,
9	however, if my understanding is correct,
10	those two members are not six-year residents
11	of Jacksonville, nor is Mr. Vanosdol nor
12	is the gentleman here.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: I personally
14	think this is my that's way over the
15	top of my opinion, six years. We have
16	executives that move into Jacksonville, CEOs
17	that are topnotch people
18	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: We can take a look at
19	that number then.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: That's my
21	opinion.
22	COUNCIL PRESIDENT WILSON: We can also
23	waive it for certain individuals.
24	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Ms. Priestly Jackson.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER PRIESTLY JACKSON: Just a

1	quick thought, I want to be clear, we're
2	going to submit our concerns directly to
3	General Counsel, right, not through you,
4	because that would be a Sunshine, so we just
5	copy everybody else
6	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Yeah.
7	Mr. Hazouri.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER HAZOURI: You mentioned
9	the six months, I get that. I have a
10	concern that's come up, and I like both of
11	them, but the same two gentlemen Mr. Salem
12	mentioned, you know, you're not supposed to
13	have business with the City of Jacksonville,
14	and certainly Shands does and certainly
15	Edward Waters with their 4 million or
16	whatever they're getting. I hate to say
17	that. In all respect, these are great
18	gentlemen. But, you know, the law is the
19	law. And the potential there is pretty
20	sketchy. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Unintended
22	consequences through this process. We have
23	to tread lightly as we move forward.
24	Anything else for Ms. Sidman?
25	Thank you I'm sorry.

1	MS. SIDMAN: Just quickly, I'd like some
2	direction if I get input from Council
3	Members that are in conflict with each
4	other.
5	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: I think we'll annotate
6	that. We didn't do that purposely this time
7	to make, you know, the attributions in this
8	process. My suggestion is we see the next
9	red line, we see who has recommended what,
10	so we'll know where it's coming from, all
11	right. Does that help?
12	MS. SIDMAN: Sure.
13	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you.
14	I have one public comment card. Aisha
15	Franklin. You still here? Please come
16	forward.
17	MS. COVINGTON: Good afternoon. I'm
18	Aisha Franklin Covington. And my address is
19	on file.
20	I wanted to thank you for taking
21	leadership and showing the City of
22	Jacksonville and the residents that we can
23	trust you. The JEA issue has been a serious
24	concern to us, to my seniors. They may not
25	get to come down here and express to you how

1 upsetting it is to think of selling our 2 utility, and they had to find out the details about bonusing yourself. 3 I'd also ask for General Counsel's 4 5 Office to recuse themselves. They have given advice on this. I've sat in those 6 7 meetings where they were advising. I don't 8 think they can police themselves any longer. I think it's time for Jacksonville to 9 10 raise the bar. We've got to have a standard 11 in Jacksonville. I was reading -- my phone 12 went dead again -- very briefly about 13 St. Johns County and why they did the 14 neighborhood bill of rights. It was to 15 encourage public participation. 16 What I get from this body of elected 17 officials and the Mayor's Office is, the 18 meetings are always when people are at work. 19 They're very hostile to the public. They 20 don't want to hear what we have to say. 21 And we're not going away. That day of 2.2 you micromanaging our business and pushing 23 us out the backdoor, that day is gone. 24 That's why we're here today, because

throughout this process, I know I was

1	reaching out to my council person, and I was
2	told to wait until it hit the ballot? I
3	don't let anybody make decisions like that
4	for me. I'm in the process of redeveloping
5	my neighborhood, and there are important
6	decisions that we need you to be on top of.
7	I don't know who decided to give FIS 2
8	million of my dollars, but they didn't come
9	to my neighborhood and talk to me. Those
10	things need to change, because I'm sitting
11	in the middle of development and I'm dealing
12	with the fact that we was trying to sell
13	JEA, half of my money is going out to
14	private businesses without even consulting
15	with the neighborhood.
16	Let's change it. Let's raise the bar,
17	so I won't sell my property and move to
18	St. Johns County and be a community activist
19	out there. I'm sure you want residents like
20	me to continue to be in your tax base and to
21	continue to be a positive influence on
22	Jacksonville. Have a great day.
23	CHAIRMAN BOYLAN: Thank you very much,
24	Ms. Franklin.

A couple of items: We will be putting

1	these documents on the Future of JEA
2	Workshop website on the City under that
3	title. I want to remind my colleagues we
4	meet again on hopefully at 11 o'clock
5	next time since we're not butting up against
6	the Investigation Committee on March the
7	9th. We will have Amy Zubaly here who is
8	the head of the Florida Municipal Utility
9	Association and also Susan Kelly who is a
10	national expert on public utilities. And we
11	will continue our conversation with respect
12	to fine tuning the language of the Charter.
13	So with that we are adjourned. Thank you.
14	(Meeting adjourned at 1:16 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF DUVAL
4	I, Amanda E. Robinson, Registered
5	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 1
8	through 102, is a true record of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	
11	DATED this 3rd day of March, 2020.
12	
13	
14	Opperte 2 Non
15	Amanda E. Robinson, Registered Professional Reporter
16	Registered Floressional Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	